

March 25, 2005

Gary M. Jackson  
Assistant Administrator for Size Standards  
Office of Size Standards  
Small Business Administration  
409 Third Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20416

**Re: Proposed Rulemaking Affecting SBIR Funding**

Dear Mr. Jackson:

On behalf of GlobeImmune, Inc., I want to thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Small Business Administration's (SBA) Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) regarding the participation of businesses in the SBIR program that are majority-owned by one or more venture capital companies (VCC).

GlobeImmune is a 40-person, private biotechnology company and typifies the type of business that the SBIR program was created to help - a small business working to commercialize new technologies. Companies such as ours are currently ineligible for SBIR grants because of our venture capital shareholders. Without venture capital support, it is impossible for biotechnology companies to survive. GlobeImmune is unable to fully develop and explore our Tarmogen technology given our limited, focused funding. The ability to access SBIR funding would significantly increase our ability to bring new medicines to patients. It is for this reason we believe it is vital that venture backed small businesses such as GlobeImmune be allowed to participate in the SBIR grant program.

We understand the 51% Rule now allows an SBIR award recipient to be owned by a VCC, as long as the VCC is itself owned and controlled by U.S. individuals. Applicants who meet the ownership criteria in the 51% Rule, however, are still subject to SBIR size standards, most significantly, limiting the number of employees of the applicant and its affiliates to 500. The SBA is now seeking comment as to whether VCCs should be excluded from this definition of affiliate when determining small business eligibility for the SBIR program.

While we applaud the SBA's recognition in the 51% Rule that a business concern can be technically both majority-owned by VCCs and still eligible to receive an SBIR award, it does not fully accomplish the goals of Small Business Innovation Development Act (SBIDA), since the majority of limited partners in a VCC are not individuals even if in such cases, as in pension funds, they represent the interests of individuals. We believe that a pragmatic framework that reasonably allows VCC-financed small businesses to receive SBIR grants is still several steps away.

**We believe that the SBA should (1) provide an exclusion from affiliation with VCCs in determining small business eligibility and (2) further extend an exception to the 51% Rule to include VCCs in the definition of "individuals."**

In this age of outsourcing jobs overseas, it is important for our economy that small businesses are given every opportunity to commercialize new technologies and create jobs in the U.S. The symbiotic relationship between public and private investment in small businesses through venture capital funding and SBIR grants provide the U.S. economy a competitive advantage over that of other countries.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on this important matter.

Sincerely,

*Kirk Christoffersen*

**Kirk Christoffersen**  
Senior Director Corporate Development  
GlobeImmune, Inc.  
720-859-4124